arXiv:1201.0691v1 [math.FA] 3 Jan 2012

EXTREME AMENABILITY OF ABELIAN L_0 GROUPS

MARCIN SABOK

Abstract. We show that for any abelian topological group G and arbitrary diffused submeasure μ, every continuous action of $L_0(\mu, G)$ on a compact space has a fixed point. This generalizes earlier results of Herer and Christensen, Glasner, Furstenberg and Weiss, and Farah and Solecki. This also answers a question posed by Farah and Solecki. In particular, it implies that if H is of the form $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{R})$, then H is extremely amenable if and only if H has no nontrivial characters, which gives an evidence for an affirmative answer to a question of Pestov. The proof is based on estimates of chromatic numbers of certain graphs on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. It uses tools from algebraic topology and builds on the work of Farah and Solecki.

1. Introduction

A topological group is called *extremely amenable* if every its continuous action on a compact space has a fixed point. This terminology comes from a characterization of amenable groups saying that a (locally compact) group is amenable if and only if every its continuous action on a compact convex set in a locally convex vector topological space has a fixed point. No nontrivial locally compact group is extremely amenable since locally compact groups admit free actions on compact spaces, by a theorem of Veech. Still, however, many non locally compact groups prove to be extremely amenable. These groups have received considerable attention recently (see [16]) and the following groups were proved to be extremely amenable: the group $\mathrm{Homeo}_+([0,1])$ of order-preserving homeomorphisms of the unit interval (Pestov, [17]), the group $U(\ell^2)$ of unitary transformations of ℓ^2 (Gromov and Milman, [4]), the group $\mathrm{Aut}([0,1], \lambda)$ of measure-preserving automorphisms of the Lebesgue space (Giordano and Pestov, [2]), the group $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22F05, 05C15, 46A16, 54H25, 55M20, 43A07.

Key words and phrases. extremely amenable groups, submeasures, abelian L_0 groups, chromatic numbers.

This research was supported by the MNiSW (Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education) grant no N N201 418939 and by the Foundation for Polish Science.

isometries of the Urysohn space (Pestov, [14]) and many groups of the form $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ for a large class of countable structures M (Kechris, Pestov and Todorcevic, [8]). The methods of proofs in the above cases vary from the concentration of measure phenomena to structural Ramsey theory. On the other hand, the earliest known examples of extremely amenable groups were of the form $L_0(\mu, G)$ for a topological group G and a submeasure μ, see [7, 3].

Let us explain the notion of a submeasure and an L_0 group. Given an algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of a set X, we say that a function $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty)$ is a *submeasure* if $\mu(U) \leq \mu(V)$ whenever $U \subseteq V \subseteq X$ and $\mu(U \cup V) \leq \mu(U) + \mu(V)$ for all $U, V \subseteq X$. A submeasure μ is called a *measure* if $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(U) + \mu(V)$ for disjoint $U, V \subseteq X$. A submeasure μ is called *diffused* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite cover $X = \bigcup_{i \leq n} X_i$ with $X_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(X_i) \leq \varepsilon$.

Given a topological group G, the group $L_0(\mu, G)$ is the group of all step $\mathcal{B}$-measurable functions from X to G with finite range, with the pointwise multiplication and the topology of convergence in submeasure μ.[1] This means that given $f \in L_0(\mu, G)$, a neibourhood V of the identity in G and $\varepsilon > 0$, a basic neighbourhood of f is given by

$$\{h \in L_0(\mu, G) : \mu(\{x \in X : h(x) \notin V \cdot f(x)\}) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Groups of this form have been studied extensively especially in case $G = \mathbb{R}$. We write $L_0(\mu)$ for $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. In general form they have been used by Hartman and Mycielski [5] to show that any topological group can be embedded into a connnected topological group. This is why these groups are sometimes called the Hartman–Mycielski extensions. In [5] Hartman and Mycielski proved that in case μ is the Lebesgue measure, $L_0(\mu, G)$ contains G as a closed subgroup and is path-connected and locally-path connected. The same remains true if μ is a diffused submeasure. Thus, the map $G \mapsto L_0(\mu, G)$ is a convariant functor from the category of topological groups to the category of connected topological groups. Later, Keesling [9] showed that if G is separable and metrizable, then the Hartman–Mycielski extension $L_0(\mu, G)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ^2.

A *character* of an abelian topological group is a continuous homomorphism to the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Nikodym [13] studied the groups $L_0(\mu)$ and essentially showed [13, Pages 139–141], that $L_0(\mu)$ does not have nontrivial characters if and only if μ is diffused. The same argument shows that if G is any abelian group and μ is a diffused measure, then $L_0(\mu, G)$ does not have nontrivial characters. Note at this point that if an abelian group has a nontrivial character, then it admits a free action on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ and thus cannot be extremely amenable. Therefore, if μ is not diffused and G is abelian, then $L_0(\mu, G)$ is not extremely amenable. One of the major open problems in the field is a question of Pestov whether for any abelian group H, extreme amenability of H is equivalent to the fact that H has no nontrivial characters.

---

[1] This construction appears in [5]. In [1] the authors take the metric completion in case G is locally compact. Since extreme amenability is invariant under taking dense subgroups, the choice of the definition does not affect extreme amenability of L_0 groups.

A submeasure μ is *pathological* if there is no nonzero measure ν on X with $\nu \leq \mu$. A submeasure μ is *exhaustive* if for every disjoint family $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\lim_n \mu(A_n) = 0$. For a recent construction by Talagrand (answering an old question of Maharam) of an exhaustive pathological submeasure see [19]. Extreme amenability of the groups $L_0(\mu, G)$ has been studied and proved by several authors for various groups and submeasures, and the following list summarizes the current state of knowledge. The group $L_0(\mu, G)$ is extremely amenable in each of the following cases:

- if $G = \mathbb{R}$ and μ is pathological (Herer and Christensen, [7]),
- if $G = \mathbb{T}$ and μ is a diffused measure (Glasner [3] and, independently, Furstenberg and Weiss, unpublished),
- if G is amenable and μ is a diffused measure (Pestov, [14]),
- if G is compact solvable and μ is diffused (Farah and Solecki, [1])

There is a number of cases in which the answer was not known. The situation was unclear even for the groups $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$. In [1, Question 2] Farah and Solecki ask for what submeasures are the groups $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ and $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ extremely amenable. The same question appears also in Pestov's book [15, Question 8]. In this paper we answer this question and prove the following.

Theorem 1. *For any abelian topological group G and arbitrary diffused submeasure μ the group $L_0(\mu, G)$ is extremely amenable.*

Theorem 1 easily generalizes to solvable groups in place of abelian, using [1, Lemma 3.4]. Combined with the theorem of Nikodym, Theorem 1 implies the following.

Corollary 2. *Let μ be a submeasure. The following are equivalent:*

- *μ is diffused,*
- *$L_0(\mu, G)$ is extremely amenable for every abelian G,*
- *$L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ is extremely amenable.*

On the othe hand, Theorem 1 provides an evidence for an affirmative answer to the question of Pestov mentioned above, as it implies the following.

Corollary 3. *Let μ be a submeasure. The following are equivalent:*

- *μ is diffused,*
- *$L_0(\mu)$ is extremely amenable,*
- *$L_0(\mu)$ has no nontrivial characters.*

The proof of Theorem 1 uses methods of algebraic topology and the Borsuk–Ulam theorem. It builds on the work of Farah and Solecki [1], who discovered that algebraic topological methods can be applied to study extremely amenable groups. Farah and Solecki obtained a new Ramsey-type theorem, whose proof was based on a construction of a family of simplicial complexes and an application of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem. In this paper, rather than proving a Ramsey-type result, we show a connection of extreme amenability of abelian L_0 groups with the existence of finite bounds on chromatic numbers of certain graphs on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We use methods of algebraic topology to establish bounds from below on these chromatic numbers. The application of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem is based on the ideas of Farah and Solecki but it employs different (smaller) simplicial complexes.

On the other hand, it is worth noting that the methods of algebraic topology have been also used by Lovász [10] for showing lower bounds on chromatic numbers of Kneser's graphs and by Matoušek [11] for the Kneser hypergraphs.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we connect extreme amenability of abelian L_0 groups with colorings of graphs. In Section 3 we construct a family of siplicial complexes used later in the proof of Theorem. The main result establishing the bounds on the chromatic numbers is proved in Section 4.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Sławomir Solecki for valuable discussions during my stay in Urbana–Champaign and for many helpful comments.

2. EXTREME AMENABILITY AND CHROMATIC NUMBERS

Now we define the basic object of this paper, the graphs associated to a submeasure and a real $\varepsilon > 0$. We call a finite partition $\mathcal{P}$ of X a *measurable partition* if all elements of $\mathcal{P}$ belong to $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 4. Let μ be a submeasure on a set X, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite measurable partition of X. We define the (undirected) graph $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$ as follows. The set of nodes is $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and two nodes $k = (k_A : A \in \mathcal{P})$ and $l = (l_A : A \in \mathcal{P})$ are connected with an edge if

$$\mu(\bigcup\{A \in \mathcal{P} : k_A \neq l_A + 1\}) < \varepsilon.$$

A *coloring* of a graph is a function defined on the set of its vertices such that no two vertices connected with an edge are assigned the same value. Given a graph Γ we write $\chi(\Gamma)$ for its *chromatic number*, i.e. the least number of colors in a coloring of the graph Γ. The following lemma establishes the main connection between extreme amenability of abelian L_0 groups and chromatic numbers of the graphs $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$.

Lemma 5. *Let μ be a submeasure on a set X. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *the group $L_0(\mu, G)$ is extremely amenable for every abelian topological group G,*

(ii) *for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is no finite bound on the chromatic numbers*

$$\chi(\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu)),$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ runs over all finite measurable partitions of X.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Suppose that for some ε there is a finite bound d on the chromatic numbers $\chi(\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu))$. It is enough to show that the group $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ is not extremely amenable. For each measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of X pick a coloring $c_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}} \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ of $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$ into d many colors. Fix an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on the set of all finite measurable partitions of X. For every step $\mathcal{B}$-measurable function $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ with finite range let $\mathcal{P}_f$ be the partition induced by f, i.e the one $\{f^{-1}(\{k\}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For every partition $\mathcal{P}$ refining $\mathcal{P}_f$ let $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $f_{\mathcal{P}}(i) = k$ if and only if the i-th element of $\mathcal{P}$ is contained in $f^{-1}(\{k\})$. Now let c be a coloring of $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ defined as the limit over the ultrafilter:

$$c(f) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} c_{\mathcal{P}}(f_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

Let $X_i = \{f \in L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z}) : c(f) = i\}$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and note that the sets X_i cover the group $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\bar{1} \in L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ be the constant function with value 1. Note that by the definition of the graphs $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$ we have that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$

$$(\bar{1} + V_{\varepsilon}) \cap (X_i - X_i) = \emptyset,$$

where $V_{\varepsilon} = \{f \in L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z}) : \mu\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\} < \varepsilon\}$ is the basic neighbourhood of the identity in $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $\bar{1} \notin \overline{X_i - X_i}$ for each $i \leq d$, so $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{Z})$ is not extremely amenable by [16, Theorem 3.4.9].

(ii)⇒(i) Let G be an abelian topological group and suppose that $L_0(\mu, G)$ is not extremely amenable. By [16, Theorem 3.4.9], there is a left syndetic set $S \subseteq L_0(\mu, G)$ such that $G \neq \overline{S-S}$. Write e for the neutral element of G. Assume that there are d many left translates $S_1, \ldots, S_d$ of S which cover G and an element f of $L_0(\mu, G)$ such that $f \notin \overline{S-S}$. This means that there is a neighborhood W of the identity in G and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(f + W_\varepsilon) \ \cap \ (S - S) = \emptyset$$

where $W_\varepsilon = \{h \in L_0(\mu, G) : \mu(\{x \in [0,1] : h(x) \notin W\}) < \varepsilon\}$. Let $V_\varepsilon = \{h \in L_0(\mu, G) : \mu(\{x \in [0,1] : h(x) \neq e\}) < \varepsilon\}$ and note that $V_\varepsilon \subseteq W_\varepsilon$, so $(f + V_\varepsilon) \ \cap \ (S - S) = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_f$ be the partition generated by f, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_f = \{f^{-1}(\{g\}) : g \in G\}$. Now we will construct d-colorings of the graphs $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_\varepsilon(\mu)$ for all $\mathcal{P}$ refining $\mathcal{P}_f$. Since we have $\chi(\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}_0}_\varepsilon(\mu)) \leq \chi(\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}_1}_\varepsilon(\mu))$ whenever $\mathcal{P}_1$ refines $\mathcal{P}_0$, this will end the proof.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a measurable partition of X refining $\mathcal{P}_f$ and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$. For each $A \in \mathcal{P}$ let $i_A \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(\{i_A\})$ and let $g_k \in L_0(\mu, G)$ be such that

$$g_k(x) = i_A f(x) \quad \text{if } x \in A.$$

Put

$$c(k) = i \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g_k \in S_i.$$

We claim that c defines a coloring of the graph $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}}_\varepsilon(\mu)$. Indeed, if $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same color and are connected with an edge, then $\mu(\bigcup\{A \in \mathcal{P} : k(A) \neq l(A) + 1\}) < \varepsilon$, so

$$\mu(\{x \in X : g_k(x) - g_l(x) \neq f(x)\}) < \varepsilon$$

and hence $(f + V_\varepsilon) \cap (S - S) = (f + V_\varepsilon) \cap (S_i - S_i) \neq \emptyset$.

□

3. Simplicial complexes

Here we gather the definitions and constructions of simplicial complexes used in the proof o Theorem 9 in the next section.

Given a finite set V, a *symplicial complex* with vertex set V is a finite family of subsets of V, closed under taking subsets. Elements of this family are called *simplices* of the simplicial complex. Given two simplicial complexes K and L we say that a map $f : K \to L$ is *simplicial* if $\{f(v) : v \in F\} \in L$ whenever $F \in K$. Given a simplicial complex K with vertex set V and an action of a group H on V, we say that K is an H-*complex* if for every $F \in K$ and $h \in H$ we have $\{h(v) : v \in F\} \in K$. Given two H-complexes K and L and a simplicial map $f : K \to L$ we say that f is *H-equivariant* and write $f : K \xrightarrow{H} L$ if $f(h(v)) = hf(v)$ for each $v \in V$ and $h \in H$. Given two simplicial complexes K and L with disjoint sets of vertices, we define their *join* and denote it by $K * L$ as the simplicial complex

$$\{F \cup G : F \in K, G \in L\}.$$

If K is a simplicial complex, then its *barycentric subdivision*, denoted by $\mathrm{sd}(K)$, is the simplicial complex with the vertex set K defined as

$$\{C \subseteq K : C \neq \emptyset \text{ and } C \text{ is a chain}\}.$$

By $\mathrm{sd}^k(K)$ we denote the k-fold iteration of the barycentric subdivision. If K is an H-complex, then $\mathrm{sd}(K)$ becomes an H-complex too, in the natural way. Given a simplicial complex K, we write $||K||$ for its *geometric realization* (see [6, Page 537] or [12, Page 14]). Note that if a group H acts on K, then this action can be naturally extended to an action on $||K||$.

Given two simplicial complexes K and L and a simplicial map $f : K \to L$, there is a natural simplicial map $\mathrm{sd}(f) : \mathrm{sd}(K) \to \mathrm{sd}(L)$. If K and L are H-complexes and and f is H-equivariant, then $\mathrm{sd}(f)$ is H-equivariant too.

Given a prime number p we write $\mathbb{Z}/p$ for the cyclic group of rank p and $+_p$ for the addition modulo p.

Definition 6. Given $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and a partial function $f : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, p\}$ a *component interval* of f is any maximal interval $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that f is constant on $I \cap \mathrm{dom}(f)$. Note that the component intervals of f are pairwise disjoint and cover $\mathrm{dom}(f)$. Given a nonempty partial function $f : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, p\}$, the *last component interval of* f is the one containing $\max(\mathrm{dom}(f))$.

Let l, n be natural numbers with $n \geq l$ and let p be a prime number. Define $V_p^{n,l}$ as the set of nonempty partial functions f from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ which have the following properties:

(i) $n - |\mathrm{dom}(f)| \leq l$,
(ii) the number of component intervals of f is at most l.

Let $K_p^{n,l}$ be the simplicial complex whose vertices set is $V_p^{n,l}$ and whose simplices are those subsets of $V_p^{n,l}$ which form a chain with respect to inclusion. There is a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ on $K_p^{n,l}$ defined as follows. If $f \in V_p^{n,l}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}/p$, then $k + f \in V_p^{k,l}$ is such that $\mathrm{dom}(k + f) = \mathrm{dom}(f)$ and $(k + f)(i) = k + f(i)$ for each $i \in \mathrm{dom}(f)$.

The following lemma is a variant of an analogous statement proved by Farah and Solecki [1, Page 4] for the complexes used in their proof.

Lemma 7. *There is a simplicial map*

$$s : \mathrm{sd}(K_p^{n,l}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/p} K_p^{n+1,l}.$$

Proof. Every element of $\mathrm{sd}(K_p^{n,l})$ is a chain of partial functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq f_m\}$. The way we assign an element of $K_p^{n+1,l}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ will depend on whether $m = 1$ or $m > 1$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a nontrivial chain, i.e. $f_1 \neq f_m$, then note that $n - |\mathrm{dom}(f_m)| \leq l - 1$. This implies that $f_m \in K_p^{n+1,l}$, as $n + 1 - |\mathrm{dom}(f_m)| \leq l$ and the condition (ii)) is satisfied for f_m since $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{sd}(K_p^{n,l})$. Put $s(\mathcal{F}) = f_m$ in this case.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a trivial chain, i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1\}$, then let q be the value assumed at the last component interval of f_1. Put $s(\mathcal{F}) = f_1 \cup \{(n+1, q))\}$. Now $s(\mathcal{F}) \in K_p^{n+1,l}$ as the number of component intervals of $s(\mathcal{F})$ is the same as that of f_1, and (i) is satisfied as $n+1-|\mathrm{dom}(s((F)))| = n-|\mathrm{dom}(f_1)|$.

It is clear that so defined s preserves the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p$. □

Let S_p^{l+1} be the complex whose vectices are partial functions f from $\{1, \ldots l+1\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ with $|\mathrm{dom}(f)| = 1$ and let the simplices of S_p^{l+1} be those subsets of its vertices whose union forms a partial function from $\{1, \ldots l+1\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p$. In other words, S_p^{l+1} is the join $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{*(l+1)}$.

Lemma 8. S_p^{l+1} *is l-connected.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that if K is k-connected and L is l-connected, then $K * L$ is $k+l+2$-connected [12, Proposition 4.4.3]. □

Note that $V_p^{l+1,l+1}$ consists of all nonempty partial functions from $\{1, \ldots l+1\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p$, as the conditions (iii) and (iv) are empty in this case. Thus, the identity is a simplicial map

$$i : S_p^{l+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/p} K_p^{l+1,l+1},$$

which obviously preserves the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p$.

4. BOUNDS ON CHROMATIC NUMBERS

Given a diffused submeasure μ, for each $\delta > 0$ there is a finite covering of X with sets in $\mathcal{B}$ with submeasure less than δ. Let $k_\mu(\delta)$ be the minimal number of elements in such a covering. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the function $k_\varepsilon^\mu : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined as $k_\varepsilon^\mu(d) = k_\mu(\varepsilon/4d)$ and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathcal{Q}_d^\varepsilon = \{I_1^\varepsilon, \ldots, I_{k_\varepsilon^\mu(d)}^\varepsilon\}$$

be a measurable partition of X into $k_\varepsilon^\mu(d)$ many sets of submeasure less than $\varepsilon/4d$. Note that k_ε^μ is increasing and

$$k_\varepsilon^\mu(d) \geq d\mu(X)(4/\varepsilon). \tag{1}$$

Let $F^\mu_\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be any increasing function such that

$$k^\mu_\varepsilon \circ F^\mu_\varepsilon(m) = m \tag{2}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Such a function exists since k^μ_ε is increasing and unbounded by (1). Moreover,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} F^\mu_\varepsilon(m) = \infty. \tag{3}$$

The rate of growth of F^μ_ε may be very low if μ is not a measure (for example, for the Hausdorff submeasures constructed in [1]). On the other hand, if μ is a measure, then F^μ_ε is linear. However, for proving Theorem 1 we only need the fact that F^ε_μ diverges to infinity.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ write $C^\mu_\varepsilon = \sqrt[3]{\mu(X)^2/16\varepsilon}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\mathcal{P}^\varepsilon_n(\mu)$ be a finite measurable partition of X with, say $k^\varepsilon_n(\mu)$, many sets in $\mathcal{B}$ of submeasure less than $1/n$ which refines all $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon_d(\mu)$ for $d \leq F^\mu_\varepsilon(C^\mu_\varepsilon \sqrt[3]{n})$. Write $\Gamma^n_\varepsilon(\mu)$ for the graph $\Gamma^{\mathcal{P}^\varepsilon_n(\mu)}_\varepsilon(\mu)$.

Here is the main result which, composed with Lemma 5 and (3), implies Theorem 1.

Theorem 9. *Let μ be a diffused submeasure. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\chi(\Gamma^n_\varepsilon(\mu)) \geq F^\mu_\varepsilon(C^\mu_\varepsilon \sqrt[3]{n}).$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write k_n for $k^\varepsilon_n(\mu)$ and μ_n for the submeasure on $\{1, \ldots, k_n\}$ induced by μ, i.e $\mu_n(B) = \mu(\bigcup\{A_i : i \in B\})$, where $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{k_n}\}$ is an enumeration of $\mathcal{P}_n(\mu)$.

Suppose that $d < K^\varepsilon_\mu(C^\mu_\varepsilon \sqrt[3]{n})$ and there exists a coloring $c : \mathbb{Z}^{k_n} \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ of the graph $\Gamma^n_\varepsilon(\mu)$. Let $k = k(\varepsilon/4d)$. By the assumption on $\mathcal{P}^\varepsilon_n(\mu)$, we can assume (possibly rearranging the numbers $1, \ldots, k_n$) that there are consequtive intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k$ covering $\{1, \ldots, k_n\}$ each of submeasure μ_n less than $1/4d$. By the Bertrand postulate [18, Chapter 10], pick a prime number p with

$$k(d+1) < p < 2k(d+1) \tag{4}$$

Since $p > k(d+1)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{p} &< \frac{1}{d+1} = 1 - \frac{d}{d+1}, \\ 1 - \frac{k}{p} &> \frac{d}{d+1}, \\ (1 - \frac{k}{p})(d+1) &> d, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(p-k)(d+1) > dp. \tag{5}$$

Put $l = dp$.

Claim.

$$\frac{l+1}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$$

Proof. Otherwise, we have $dp = l \geq n\,\varepsilon/8$ and since $k = k(\varepsilon/4d)$, we have that

$$\frac{4d}{\varepsilon}\mu(X) \leq k \tag{6}$$

and

$$d+1 \leq 4d = \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(X)}(\frac{4d}{\varepsilon}\mu(X)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(X)}k. \tag{7}$$

By (4) and the assumption that the Claim does not hold, we have

$$\frac{n\varepsilon}{8} \leq dp \leq 2k(d+1)d < 2k(d+1)^2,$$

and by (7) we get

$$\frac{n\varepsilon}{16} < k(d+1)^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\mu(X)^2}k^3, \tag{8}$$

which gives that $k = k^\varepsilon_\mu(d) > C^\mu_\varepsilon \sqrt[3]{n}$. Since F^ε_μ is increasing, (2) implies that $d \geq F^\varepsilon_\mu(C\sqrt[3]{n})$ contrary to the assumption. This ends the proof of the Claim. □

Write $l_n = k_n - l - 1$. By Lemma 7, we get a simplicial map

$$\mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(K_p^{l+1,l+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/p} K_p^{k_n,l+1}$$

and precomposing it with $\mathrm{sd}(i)^{l_n}$ we get

$$s : \mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/p} K_p^{k_n,l+1}.$$

For each $f \in V_p^{k_n,l+1}$ write $\bar{f} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{k_n}$ for the function which is equal to f on its domain and 0 elsewhere. Let $\bar{c} : V_p^{k_n,l+1} \to \{1,\ldots,d\}$ be defined so that $\bar{c}(f) = c(\bar{f})$. Note that $\bar{c}$ induces a map $c' : V_p^{k_n,l+1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $c'(f) = \mathrm{e}_{\bar{c}(f)}$, where $\{\mathrm{e}_1,\ldots,\mathrm{e}_d\}$ are the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This in turn, extends to a continuous map

$$||c'|| : ||K_p^{k_n,l+1}|| \to \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which is the affine extension of c'.

Write c'' for $s \circ c' : \mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1}) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and let

$$||c''|| : ||\mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1})|| \to \mathbb{R}^d$$

be its affine extension. Let also $||s|| : ||S_p^{l+1}|| \to ||K_p^{k_n,l+1}||$ be the affine extension of s. Note that $||c''|| = ||s|| \circ ||c'||$.

Since S_p^{l+1} is l-connected by Lemma 8, so is $\mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1})$ and hence its $\mathbb{Z}/p$-index (see [12, Definition 6.2.3] for the definition) satisfies

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1})) \geq l+1$$

by [12, Proposition 6.2.4]. Now, $l+1 > d(p-1)$, so by the Borsuk–Ulam theorem [12, Theorem 6.3.3], there is a point $x_0 \in ||\mathrm{sd}^{l_n}(S_p^{l+1})||$ such that $||c''||$ is constant on the $\mathbb{Z}/p$-orbit of x_0. Since $||s||$ preserves the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p$, we get that $||c'||$ is constant on the $\mathbb{Z}/p$-orbit of $||s||(x_0)$. The point $||s||(x_0)$ lies in a maximal simplex in $K_p^{k_n,l+1}$, which is of the form

$$h_{l+1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq h_0.$$

Pick $i_0 < d$ such that the i_0-th coordinate of $||s||(x_0)$ is nonzero. As the function $||c'||$ is the affine extension of c', there is $0 \leq m \leq l+1$ such that $\bar{c}(h_m) = i_0$. Moreover, if $q \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ is any number, then $||s||(x_0)+q$ lies in the maximal simplex

$$h_{l+1}+q \subseteq \ldots \subseteq h_0+q$$

and since still the i_0-th coordinate of $||s||(x_0)+q$ is nonzero, there is $0 \leq m_q \leq l+1$ such that $\bar{c}(h_{m_q}+q) = i_0$.

Put $h = h_{m_0}$. Consider the set $A_h = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}/p :$ there is a component interval J of h such that h is equal to j on J and J intersects at least two of the intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k\}$. Note that since the intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k$ are disjoint and consequtive, we have $|A_h| \leq k-1$. Let $B_h = \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \setminus A_h$ and note that $|B_h| \geq p-k+1$. For each $j \in B_h$ the preimage of j by h is a disjoint union of component intervals, each of which is contained in at most one of the intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k$. Note that (5) implies that

$$(p-k+1)(d+1) > l+1.$$

Since the number of the component intervals of h is at most $l+1$, by the pigeonhole principle we get that one of the elements of B_h, say q_0, must have at most d many component intervals in its preimage. Since each $I_1 \ldots, I_k$ has submeasure at most $\varepsilon/4d$, we get that

$$\mu_n(h^{-1}(\{q_0\})) \leq d \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4d} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{9}$$

Let $f = h_{m_{p-1-q_0}} +_p (p-1-q_0)$ and $g = h_{m_{p-q_0}} +_p (p-q_0)$. Note that by the way we have chosen the numbers m_q, we have $c(\bar{f}) = c(\bar{g}) = i_0$. We will show that

$$\mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : \bar{f}(i)+1 \neq \bar{g}(i)\}) < \varepsilon, \tag{10}$$

which implies that $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ are connected with an edge in $\Gamma^n_\varepsilon(\mu)$. This will give a contradiction and end the proof.

Note that h and $h_{m_{p-1-q_0}}$ as well as h and $h_{m_{p-q_0}}$ differ at at most $l+1$ many points in $\{1,\dots,k_n\}$. Since each point has submeasure μ_n at most $1/n$, by the Claim we get that

$$\mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-1-q_0}}(i) \neq h(i)\}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \tag{11}$$

$$\mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-q_0}}(i) \neq h(i)\}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \tag{12}$$

By (9), (11) and the Claim we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : \bar{f}(i) +_p 1 \neq \bar{f}(i) + 1\}) &= \mu_n(\bar{f}^{-1}(\{p-1\})) \\ \leq \mu_n(f^{-1}(\{p-1\})) + \varepsilon/8 &\leq \mu_n({h_{m_{p-1-q_0}}}^{-1}(\{q_0\})) + \varepsilon/8 \\ \leq \mu_n(h^{-1}(\{q_0\})) + \varepsilon/8 + \varepsilon/8 &\leq \varepsilon/8 + \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, by (11), (12) and the Claim

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : \bar{f}(i) +_p 1 \neq h(i) +_p (p-q_0)\}) \\ &= \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : \bar{f}(i) \neq h(i) +_p (p-1-q_0)\}) \\ &\leq \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : f(i) \neq h(i) +_p (p-1-q_0)\}) + \varepsilon/8 \\ &= \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-1-q_0}}(i) +_p (p-1-q_0) \neq h(i) +_p (p-1-q_0)\}) \\ &+ \varepsilon/8 = \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-1-q_0}}(i) \neq h(i)\}) + \varepsilon/8 \leq \varepsilon/4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : \bar{g}(i) \neq h(i) +_p (p-q_0)\}) \\ &\leq \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : g(i) \neq h(i) +_p (p-q_0)\}) + \varepsilon/8 \\ &= \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-q_0}}(i) +_p (p-q_0) \neq h(i) +_p (p-q_0)\}) + \varepsilon/8 \\ &= \mu_n(\{i \leq k_n : h_{m_{p-q_0}}(i) \neq h(i)\}) + \varepsilon/8 \leq \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

So, together with (13), this gives (10), as needed. This ends the proof. □

References

[1] Ilijas Farah and Sławomir Solecki. Extreme amenability of L_0, a Ramsey theorem, and Lévy groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(2):471–493, 2008.

[2] Thierry Giordano and Vladimir Pestov. Some extremely amenable groups related to operator algebras and ergodic theory. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 6(2):279–315, 2007.

[3] Eli Glasner. On minimal actions of Polish groups. *Topology Appl.*, 85(1-3):119–125, 1998. 8th Prague Topological Symposium on General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra (1996).

[4] Mikhail Gromov and Vitali D. Milman. A topological application of the isoperimetric inequality. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(4):843–854, 1983.

[5] Stanisław Hartman and Jan Mycielski. On the imbedding of topological groups into connected topological groups. *Colloq. Math.*, 5:167–169, 1958.

[6] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[7] Wojciech Herer and Jens Peter Reus Christensen. On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups. *Math. Ann.*, 213:203–210, 1975.

[8] Alexander S. Kechris, Vladimir G. Pestov, and Stevo Todorcevic. Fraïssé limits, Ramsey theory, and topological dynamics of automorphism groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 15(1):106–189, 2005.

[9] James Keesling. Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable Fréchet manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 44:181–189, 1973.

[10] László Lovász. Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 25(3):319–324, 1978.

[11] Jiří Matoušek. On the chromatic number of Kneser hypergraphs. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(9):2509–2514 (electronic), 2002.

[12] Jiří Matoušek. *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[13] Otto Nikodym. Contribution à la théorie des fonctionnelles linéaires en connexion avec la théorie de la mesure des ensembles abstraits. *Mathematica (Cluj)*, 5:130–141, 1931.

[14] Vladimir Pestov. Ramsey-Milman phenomenon, Urysohn metric spaces, and extremely amenable groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 127:317–357, 2002.

[15] Vladimir Pestov. *Dynamics of infinite-dimensional groups and Ramsey-type phenomena.* Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA. [IMPA Mathematical Publications]. Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2005.

[16] Vladimir Pestov. *Dynamics of infinite-dimensional groups*, volume 40 of *University Lecture Series.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[17] Vladimir G. Pestov. On free actions, minimal flows, and a problem by Ellis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(10):4149–4165, 1998.

[18] Wacław Sierpiński. *Elementary theory of numbers*, volume 31 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library.* North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1988.

[19] Michel Talagrand. Maharam's problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 168(3):981–1009, 2008.

Marcin Sabok, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Instytut Matematyczny Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: `sabok@math.uni.wroc.pl`